Non-Metal Redox Kinetics: Hypobromite and Hypobromous Acid Reactions with Iodide and with Sulfite and the Hydrolysis of Bromosulfate

Robert **C.** Troy and Dale W. Margerum*

Received July 27, *1990*

The pulsed-accelerated-flow method is used to study the reactions of OBr⁻ with I⁻ and with SO₃²⁻ (25.0 °C, μ = 0.50 M).
Pseudo-first-order rate constants for the OBr⁻ with I⁻ reaction are measured in the ra between OBr⁻ and SO₃²⁻ is studied under second-order conditions. The proposed mechanism includes parallel paths with Br⁺ transfer to the nucleophile $(X = I^T, SO_3^2)$ via solvent-assisted reaction with OBr⁻ as well as diffusion-controlled reactions between $H_2O + OBr^2 + X \xrightarrow{k_{OR}} XBr + 2OH^-$

$$
H_2O + OBr^+ + X \xrightarrow{k_{OB}} XBr + 2OH^-
$$

$$
OBr^- + H_2O \xrightarrow[k_{A_2}]{k_{A_2}} HOBr + OH^-
$$

$$
HOBr + X \xrightarrow{k_{HOB}} XBr + OH^-
$$

$$
HOBr (pK_a = 8.80) \text{ and these nucleophiles. The second-order rate constants } (M^{-1} s^{-1}) \text{ are as follows: } k_{OBr} = 6.8 \text{ (+0.4)} \times 10^5
$$

and $k_{\text{HOBr}} = 5.0 \ (\pm 0.3) \times 10^9$ with I⁻; $k_{\text{OBr}} = 1.0 \ (\pm 0.1) \times 10^8$ and $k_{\text{HOBr}} = 5 \ (\pm 1) \times 10^9$ with SO₃²⁻. The intermediate IBr(aq) is unstable with respect to hydrolysis, and it readily forms OI⁻. Th SO_3^2 hydrolyzes with a rate constant $k_h = 230 \pm 20$ s⁻¹ at 0.0 °C to give SO_4^2 and Br⁻. The relative hydrolysis rate constants (25 °C) for the halosulfates are in the order $BrSO_3^- \gg ISO_3^- \approx CISO_3^- \gg FSO_3^-$.

Introduction

The kinetics and mechanisms of hypochlorous acid and hypochlorite ion reactions with iodide ion and with sulfite ion have been studied recently.¹⁻⁴ The OCI⁻ reactions were measured by stopped-flow spectrometry, but the HOC1 reactions were more rapid and pulsed-accelerated-flow $(PAF)^{3-i}$ methods were needed. Chlorosulfate ion is formed from the reaction of HOC1 and *SO3",* and the hydrolysis rate constant for $CISO_3^-$ has been determined.⁴ The formation of CISO₃⁻ shows that the reaction takes place by a Cl⁺-transfer mechanism rather than an O atom transfer mechanism.

Hypobromite is not as strong an oxidizing agent as hypochlorite (eqs 1 and 2), but the present work shows that OBr⁻ reacts 3-5

orders of magnitude faster with SO_3^{2-} and with I⁻ than does OCI⁻.
OBr⁻ + H₂O + 2e -> Br⁻ + 2OH⁻ $E_b^{\circ} = 0.766$ V (1) $E_b^{\circ} = 0.766 \text{ V}$ (1) OBr⁻ + H₂O + 2e \rightarrow Br⁻ + 2OH⁻
OCl⁻ + H₂O + 2e \rightarrow Cl⁻ + 2OH⁻

$$
DCI^{-} + H_2O + 2e \rightarrow CI^{-} + 2OH^{-} E_b^{\circ} = 0.890 \text{ V} \quad (2)
$$

Lister and McLeod⁸ observed that the reactions of OBr⁻ with NO₂⁻ and with $HCO₂$ have an inverse dependence in OH⁻ concentration, and they proposed HOBr as the reactant. Their equations imply that 0 atom transfer occurs in both cases. We also find that HOBr reacts very rapidly (near the diffusion limit) with **I**and with SO_3^2 , but we propose that the reactions occur by $Br⁺$ -transfer processes to form IBr and $BrSO₃⁻$ as the first step in the redox mechanisms.

Iodine monobromide has been proposed as an intermediate in the reaction of HOBr, I-, and **H+** from studies of oscillating reaction mechanisms.⁹ The kinetics of $IBr(aq) + I^-$ and the

- Kumar, K.; Day, R. A.; Margerum, D. W. *Inorg.* Chem. **1986, 25, 4244-4350.**
- (2) Nagy, **J. C.;** Kumar, K.; Margerum, D. W. *Inorg.* Chem. **1988, 27, 2773-2780.**
- Fogelman, K. D.; Walker, D. M.; Margerum, D. W. *Inorg.* Chem. **1989, 28; 986-993.**
- Yiin, B. S.; Margerum, D. W. Inorg. Chem. 1988, 27, 1670–1672.
Jacobs, S. A.; Nemeth, M. T.; Kramer, G. W.; Ridley, T. Y.; Marge-
rum, D. W. Anal. Chem. 1984, 56, 1058–1065.
Nemeth, M. T.; Fogelman, K. D.; Ridley, T. Y.; M
- *Anal.* Chem. *198'1.59,* **283-291.**
- Bowers, **C.** P.; Fogelman, K. D.; Nagy, J. C.; Ridley, T. Y.; Wang, Y. L.; Evetts, S. W.; Margerum, D. W. To be submitted for publication.
-
- Lister, **M.** W.; McLeod, P. **E.** *Can. J. Chem.* **1971,** *49,* **1987-1992.** Simoyi, **R. H.;** Masvikeni, P.; Sikosana, A. *J. fhys.* Chem. **1986,** *90,* **41 26-41 31.**

hydrolysis reactions of IBr(aq) in base have been studied recently¹⁰ by use of the PAF method. (Solutions of IBr₂⁻ and Br⁻ were used to control the initial concentration of IBr(aq).) The hydrolysis rate constants (25.0 °C) for eq 3 (8 \times 10⁵ s⁻¹) and eq 4 (6.0 \times
IBr(aq) + H₂O \rightarrow HOI + Br⁻ + H⁺ (3)

$$
IBr(aq) + H2O \rightarrow HOI + Br- + H+
$$
 (3)

$$
IBr(aq) + H2O \rightarrow HOI + Br- + H+
$$
 (3)

$$
IBr(aq) + OH- \rightarrow HOI + Br- + H2O
$$
 (4)

 10^9 M⁻¹ s⁻¹) are very large, so that IBr(aq) is only a transitory intermediate in the reactions of HOBr and OBr- with I-.

On the other hand, bromosulfate is formed in the reaction between sulfite and hypobromous acid *(eq 5),* and it hydrolyzes (eq 6) less rapidly so that the hydrolysis **can** be observed with use of an acid-base indicator by stopped-flow methods near $0 °C$.
 $HOBr + SO₃²⁻ \rightarrow OH^- + BrSO₃⁻$ (5)

$$
HOBr + SO32- \rightarrow OH- + BrSO3-
$$
 (5)

$$
HOBr + SO32- \to OH- + BrSO3- (5)
$$

\n
$$
BrSO3- + H2O \to Br- + SO42- + 2H+ (6)
$$

Many non-metal redox reactions are too fast to measure by stopped-flow methods and are irreversible so that relaxation methods are not appropriate. The pulsed-accelerated-flow method overcomes these limitations and permits reactions to be studied that have half-lives as short as a few microseconds. The **PAF** method is used in this work to measure rate constants for the reactions of OBr⁻ and HOBr with I^- and with SO_3^2 ⁻.

Experimental Section

Reagents. Analytical reagent grade Br2, NaI, and NaOH were used without further purification. Crystalline I_2 (resublimed) was dissolved in 1 mM HClO₄ and was standardized spectrophotometrically $(\lambda = 460$ nm, **c** = **746 M-I** cm-')." NaClO, was recrystallized from water, and a *5* M stock solution was prepared, which was standardized gravimetrically. Hypobromite solutions $(4.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M})$ were prepared by addition of $Br_2(l)$ to ice-cold NaOH solutions. A reference solution was standardized by an iodometric titration method, and the OBr⁻ molar absorptivity was determined as 332 (\pm 1) M^{-1} cm⁻¹ at 329 nm (λ_{max}) . Subsequently, each NaOBr solution was freshly prepared, calibrated at *329* nm, and used within *2* h to prevent any significant disproportionation reactions.¹² A saturated NaOH solution was used to reduce $CO₃²$ contamination and was diluted in deionized, distilled water that had been boiled and cooled under Ar. This NaOH stock solution was stored under

⁽IO) Troy, R. C.; Kelley, M. D.; Nagy, J. C.; Margerum, D. W. Submitted

for publication in *Inorg. Chem.*

(11) Awtrey, A. D.; Connick, R. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1951, 73, 1842–1843.

(12) Engel, P.; Oplatka, A.; Perlmutter-Hayman, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc.

1954, 76, 2010–2015.

Ar with an Ascarite vent to prevent significant $CO₂$ absorption and was standardized by titration against KHP. Sodium iodide solutions were flushed with Ar to remove any dissolved oxygen. The NaI stock solution was stored in the dark and standardized by addition of Br₂ to oxidize I⁻ to **10**⁵; the resulting solution was then titrated iodometrically with $Na₂S₂O₃$.¹³ Sodium sulfite solutions were prepared by dissolution of the weighed solid in an appropriate medium that had **been** bubbled with Ar for at least **0.5** h. These solutions were used immediately with careful transfer techniques to prevent any O₂ absorption and any metallic contamination. The solutions were standardized by iodometric titration methods.

Metbods. UV-vis spectra were recorded **on** a Perkin-Elmer **320** spectrophotometer. Solution pH values were measured with a Corning Model **476051** combination glass electrode and an Orion Model **SA 720** pH meter. Hydrogen ion concentrations were calculated from the pH measurements (p[H⁺] = $0.9820pH + 0.252$; $\mu = 0.50$ M, 25.0 °C) on the basis of the electrode response to titration of NaOH with HClO₄. Gran plots¹⁴ were used to calibrate the electrodes.

A Hi-Tech Scientific Model **SFL-43** multimixing module attached by fiber optics to a Durrum D-110 monochromator and interfaced to a Zenith **151** CPU with a Metrabyte **DASH-16** A/D converter was used to collect stopped-flow data. A new mixing cell, utilizing a ball mixer and a twin observation path, was used.¹⁵ The total cell path length is **1.60** cm and the dead time for the instrument is **2.7** ms for this mixing/observation cell. The cell design permits first-order rate constants as large as **350 s-l** to be measured without correction for mixing effects.16

Hydrolysis of BrSO₃. Bromosulfate was generated within the stopped-flow mixing cell, and its hydrolysis reaction was studied by use of an indicator. First-order rate constants were determined from the absorbance change at **410** nm by analysis of eq 7, where *A,* is the final

$$
\ln\left(\frac{1/A_{i}-1/A_{m}}{1/A_{0}-1/A_{m}}\right)=-k_{h}t
$$
 (7)

absorbance, A_0 is the initial absorbance, and A_i is the absorbance at any time (i) .⁴ Rate constants $(\mu = 0.50 \text{ M (NaClO}_4), 0.0-4.0 \text{ °C})$ are the average of six trials. **An** aqueous stock solution of **4.16 X IO-*** M **2,4** dinitrophenol (analytical reagent grade; $pK_a = 4.11$)¹⁷ was prepared, and appropriate dilutions were made in the sulfite solutions to prevent any possible reactions between the indicator and HOBr.

Pulsed-Accelerated-Flow Method. A pulsed-accelerated-flow spectrometer, Model $IV₁^{3,7}$ was used to obtain kinetic data for the reactions of iodide and sulfite with hypobromite. This instrument has a wavelength range of **200-850** nm, and improvements in the optics lead to much higher light throughput as compared with that of earlier models.^{5,6} The PAF spectrometer employs integrating observation^{18,19} during continuous-flow mixing of short duration (a **0.4-s** pulse). The purpose of the pulsed flow is to conserve reagents.^{5,6} The reactants are observed along the direction of flow from their point of mixing to their exit from the observation tube **(1.025** cm). **A** twin-path mixing/observation cell made from poly(vinyl chloride) is used. 6 In this study, the flow was decelerated during the pulse to give a linear velocity ramp, and **250** measurements of the transmittance were taken as the flow velocity in the observation tube changed from **12.5** to **3.0** m **s-l.** The velocity variation permits the chemical reaction rate process to be resolved from the mixing rate pro- cess. The method of observation, the efficient mixing, and the variation of flow velocity permit accurate measurement of first-order rate constants that are factors of **IO'** larger than can be measured by typical stoppedflow methods. Solution reservoirs, drive syringes, and the mixing/observation cell were thermostated at 25.0 (± 0.2) °C with a circulating water bath. Reactant solutions were drawn directly from the reservoirs into the drive syringes through Teflon tubing. m accurate interstance
 m^3 larger than can be measured by

tion reservoirs, drive syringes, and

thermostated at 25.0 (±0.2) °C w

thermostated at 25.0 (±0.2) °C w

thermostated at 25.0 (±0.2) °C w

there M_{exp} is t

Equation **8** is used in the analysis of PAF data under pseudo-firstorder conditions,⁶ where M_{exp} is the defined absorbance ratio, A_v is the

$$
M_{\exp} = \frac{A_v - A_{\infty}}{A_0 - A_{\infty}} = \frac{1}{bk_m} + \frac{v}{bk_r}
$$
 (8)

absorbance of the reaction mixture at a given instantaneous velocity, *A.* is the absorbance at infinite time, A_0 is the absorbance at time zero, k_1 is the reaction rate constant **(s-I),** *b* is the reaction path length **(0.01025**

-
- Wang, Y. L. Ph.D. Thesis, Purdue University, 1989.
Dickson, P. N.; Margerum, D. W. Anal. Chem. 1986, 58, 3153-3158.
Kolthoff, I. M. J. Phys. Chem. 1930, 34, 1466-1483.
Gerischer, H.; Heim, W. Z. Phys. Chem. (Munich) 1965, (19)

m), v is the solution velocity in the observation tube (m s^{-1}), and k_m is a proportionality constant from the mixing rate constant (k_{mix}) where $k_{\text{mix}} = k_{\text{mix}}/v$. Linear plots of M_{exp} vs *v* have slopes of $1/(bk_r)$ for first-order reactions.

The reactions of OBr⁻ with SO_3^2 ⁻ are so fast that second-order unequal-concentration conditions are needed. Equation *9* gives the rela-

$$
M_{\exp} = \frac{A_v - A_a(1 - q) - A_p}{A_a + 1/q(A_b - A_p)} = \frac{1 - q}{R} \ln\left(\frac{1 - q e^{-R}}{1 - q}\right)
$$
(9)

$$
R = \frac{k_{\exp}C_a d(1 - q)}{v}
$$

tionship¹⁹ for the M_{exp} values where A_{a} and C_{a} equal the absorbance and concentration of the excess reagent, *Ab* and *C,* equal the absorbance and concentration of the limiting reagent, A_p equals the absorbance of the products, *d* is the cell path length, and *q* equals C_b/C_a . The apparent rate constant (k_{app}) is related to the reaction rate constant (k_t) and the mixing rate constant (k_{mix}) by eq 10. Gerritsen and Margerum²⁰ have

$$
\frac{1}{k_{\rm app}} = \frac{1}{k_{\rm mix}} + \frac{1}{k_{\rm r}}\tag{10}
$$

shown that when $qe^{-R} \ll 1$, k_r can be solved for directly by using eq 11,

$$
M_{\exp} = \frac{1}{k_m C_a d} \ln \left(\frac{1}{1-q} \right) + \frac{v}{k_r C_a d} \ln \left(\frac{1}{1-q} \right) + \frac{c}{v} \quad (11)
$$

where c is a constant that originates from the mixing behavior in the center of the twin-path cell. Inclusion of a *c/u* term is also needed in the analysis procedure used⁷ for first-order rate constants greater than 10^5 s⁻¹. Equation 11 is solved by linear regression to give the best fit of k_m , k_n , and *c*. All of the k_r values determined in the OBr⁻ + SO₃²⁻ study meet the condition $qe^{-R} \ll 1$, so that analysis by this simplification is appropriate.

Kinetic data obtained on the PAF spectrometer were collected at μ = **0.50** M (NaCIO,/NaOH) and **25.0** "C by following the disappearance of **OBr-** at **329** nm. Data for I- reactions were corrected for light scattering due to small refractive index differences between the iodide ion solutions and the corresponding NaClO₄/NaOH solutions without I⁻ present. The absorbance change due **to** refractive index differences decreases as the velocity increases, because the light scattering is diminished as the mixing rate constant increases. The absorbance changes due to scattering are subtracted from the reaction absorbance data, which are then analyzed in the usual manner. The *k,* values reported in the tables are an average of three or four trials. The values in parentheses denote one standard deviation in the last digit.

Results and Discussion

Acid Dissociation Constant of HOBr. The K, value for HOBr at 25.0 \degree C and μ = 0.50 M was not available, so we evaluated the constant under these conditions. Nine solutions of OBrprepared from $p[H^+] = 5.04$ to 10.73, and the absorbance was measured at 300 nm $(\epsilon_{OBr} = 150 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}; \epsilon_{HOBr} = 50 \text{ M}^{-1}$ cm^{-1}).²¹ These data were used to calculate a p K_a value for HOBr from eq 12, where $A_{\text{max}} =$ absorbance when only OBr⁻ contributes, $([OBr]_T = 5.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}, [OBr]_T = [OBr^-] + [HOBr])$ were

$$
pK_{a} = -\log[H^{+}] + \frac{A_{\max} - A_{\text{pH}}}{A_{\text{pH}} - A_{\min}}
$$
 (12)

ApH = absorbance when both **OBr-** and HOBr contribute, and **Amin** = absorbance when only HOBr contributes to the total absorbance. The pK_a value determined by this method is 8.8 \pm 0.1, It is in good agreement with other reported values at unspecified ionic strengths. Farkas and Lewin²² found $pK_a = 8.70$ at 25 °C. Shilov²³ used electrochemical titrations to determine a $pK_a = 8.7$ at 20 °C. These studies did not specify the ionic strength or the precision of the values.

Kinetics of the Hypobromite Reaction with Iodide. Excellent linear plots of M_{exp} against velocity (eq 8) are obtained for the reaction of OBr- with **I- by use** of the PAF method. The loss of OBr⁻ is observed ($\epsilon = 345$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 329 nm), and the final product is OI^- ($\epsilon = 30$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 329 nm). Neither I⁻ nor Br⁻¹ has any absorbance at this wavelength. The resulting pseudo-

(23) Shilov, **E.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1938,60,490-492.**

⁽¹³⁾ Kolthoff, I. M.; Sandell, E. B.; Meehan, E. J.; Bruckenstein, S. Quan-

⁽²⁰⁾ Gerritsen, **C.** M.; Margerum, D. W. To be submitted for publication.

⁽²¹⁾ Galal-Gorchev, H.; Morris, J. C. *Inorg. Chem.* 1965, 4, 899–905.
(22) Farkas, L.; Lewin, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1950, 72, 5766–5767.

¹⁰f /' **I Table I. Pseudo-First-Order Rate Constants for the** OBr- **Reaction** with I⁻ from PAF Measurements^a

	10^3 [1 ⁻],	$10^{-3}k_{1}$		$103[1-].$	$10^{-3}k_{-1}$
[OH ⁻ 1. M	м	s^{-1}	$[OH-], M$	М	s^{-1}
0.100	5.00	6.3(2)	0.100	10.0	12.9(4)
0.100	6.25	8.0(2)	0.200	7.50	7.01(9)
0.100	7.50	9.6(2)	0.350	7.50	6.46(8)
0.100	8.75	11.4(4)	0.500	7.50	5.93(9)

 $^{\circ}$ Conditions: $[OBr^{-}] = 4.0 \times 10^{-4}$ M; $\lambda = 329$ nm; 25.0 °C, $\mu =$ **0.50 M (NaOH/NaC104).**

Figure 1. Iodide dependence of the pseudo-first-order rate constant *(k,)* for the reaction of OBr⁻ $(4.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M})$ with iodide in 0.100 M OH⁻ $(25.0 °C, \mu = 0.50 M).$

first-order rate constants vary from 5930 to 12900 **s-I** and are summarized in Table I. Figure **1** shows that *k,* varies linearly with **[I-]** (at constant [OH-] = 0.10 **M)** and that the intercept is zero. The slope is 1.29 $(\pm 0.01) \times 10^6$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹. A plot of k_r against l/[OH-] at constant **[I-]** is shown in Figure 2, where the slope is 450 (\pm 30) **M** s⁻¹ and the intercept is 5.0 (\pm 0.1) \times 10³ **s-l.** The inverse dependence in hydroxide concentration indicates that HOBr is more reactive than OBr⁻ with I⁻, as is the case^{1,2} for the I⁻ reaction with HOCl and OCl⁻. The rate expression is given by eq 13, where k_0 is 6.8 (± 0.4) \times 10⁵ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, $K_1 = K_w/K_a$ t constant [OH⁻] = (
e slope is 1.29 (±0.01)
OH⁻] at constant [I⁻] i
(±30) M s⁻¹ and the
erse dependence in hy
is more reactive than
action with HOCl and
13, where k_0 is 6.8 (±1
 $\frac{-d[OBr^-]}{dr} = \begin{pmatrix} k_0 + \frac{-1}{1} &$

$$
\frac{-d[OBr^-]}{dt} = \left(k_0 + \frac{K_1k_2}{[OH^-]}\right)[I^-][OBr^-]
$$
 (13)

 $= 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$ M (pK_w = 13.73 at $\mu = 0.5$ M, 25.0 °C),²⁴ and k_2 is 5.0 (\pm 0.3) \times 10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹.

The proposed mechanism is given by eqs $14-19$, where $IBr(aq)$ is a common intermediate for both the OBr⁻ and the HOBr

reactions. Rate equations for the aqueous hydrolysis
$$
(k^{IBr}_{H_2O} = H_2O + OBr^- + I^- \xrightarrow{k_0} 2OH^- + IBr(aq)
$$
 (14)

$$
14.0 + 0Br^{2} + 1r \longrightarrow 2OH^{2} + 1Br(aq)
$$
\n
$$
14.0 + 12Hr^{2} + 12Hr^{2} + 12Hr^{2}
$$
\n
$$
15.0 + 12Hr^{2}
$$

$$
HOBr + I^- \xrightarrow{k_2} OH^- + IBr(aq) \tag{16}
$$

$$
H_2O + OBr^+ + I^- \longrightarrow 2OH^- + IBr(aq) \tag{14}
$$
\n
$$
OH^- + H_2O \xrightarrow{\frac{k_1}{k_{-1}}} HOBr + OH^- \tag{15}
$$
\n
$$
HOBr + I^- \xrightarrow{k_2} OH^- + IBr(aq) \tag{16}
$$
\n
$$
IBr(aq) + H_2O \xrightarrow{\frac{k^{10k}H_2O}{k_1}} HOI + Br^- + H^+ \tag{17}
$$
\n
$$
IBr(aq) + OH^- \xrightarrow{\frac{k^{10k}OH}{k_1}} HOI + Br^- \tag{18}
$$
\n
$$
HOH + OH^- \xrightarrow{\frac{k^{10k}OH}{k_1}} OI^- + U_1 O
$$

$$
IBr(aq) + OH^- \xrightarrow{k^{10r} \text{OH}} HOI + Br^-
$$
 (18)

$$
HOI + OH^- \xrightarrow{kHO_{OH}} OI^- + H_2O
$$
 (19)

 8×10^5 s⁻¹) and base hydrolysis (k^{IBr} _{OH} = 6.0 \times 10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹) of $IBr(aq)^{10}$ are much larger than the observed k_r values. Therefore IBr(aq) is rapidly converted to HOI, which in turn rapidly forms

Figure 2. Hydroxide dependence of the pseudo-first-order rate constant (k_r) for the reaction of OBr⁻ (4.0 \times 10⁻⁴ M) with iodide (7.50 \times 10⁻³ M) **at 25.0 °C and** $\mu = 0.50$ **M.**

 OI^{-} ($k^{\text{HOI}}_{\text{OH}} \simeq 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$). Hence, the observed stoichiometric reaction is given by eq 20. However, direct attack of I^- on oxygen
OBr⁻ + $I^ \rightarrow$ O I^- + Br⁻ (20)

$$
\text{OBr}^{\text{-}} + \text{I}^{\text{-}} \rightarrow \text{OI}^{\text{-}} + \text{Br}^{\text{-}} \tag{20}
$$

is unlikely for such fast reactions because it is difficult to expand the coordination number of oxygen. **On** the other hand, the much larger rate constant for OBr⁻ + I⁻ $(6.8 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$ compared to that for OCl⁻ + I⁻ (<30 M⁻¹ s⁻¹)²⁴ is consistent with I⁻ attack at bromine because of the relative ease of expanding the coordination around bromine compared to chlorine.

The rate expression in eq 13 requires that a preequilibrium condition exist between OBr- and HOBr (eq **15).** The specific steady-state requirement is that $k_{-1}[OH^-] \gg k_2[I^-]$. This is valid because the lowest [OH-] is 0.10 **M** and the highest [I-] is 0.010 **M,** while $k_{-1} \approx 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k_2 = 5 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

In the reactions of HOCl with **I-** in acid, kinetic evidence was found for small concentrations of a HOCII⁻ intermediate.² This type of intermediate should be easier to form with HOBrI- (structure I), because of more favorable soft-soft interactions²⁵⁻²⁸

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc} & & & \\ & H & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & H & \\ & & & & \end{array}\right].
$$

as well **as** the enhanced ability to have 10 electrons around bromine compared to chlorine. However, the reactions are studied in strong base, so that there are always negligible concentrations of HOBr as well as HOBrI-. The rapid loss of OH- from this intermediate yields IBr(aq) (eq 16). We propose a similar intermediate in the reaction of OBr- with **I-** and a water molecule (eq 21). The rate

We will as **HOB11**. The rapid loss of OF from this intermediate
ells **IBr**(aq) (eq 16). We propose a similar intermediate in the
action of **OBr**⁻ with I⁻ and a water molecule (eq 21). The rate

$$
H_2O + OBr + I^- \frac{k_+}{k_+} OH^- + HOBrI^- \xrightarrow{k_+} 2OH^- + BrI
$$
(21)

constant, k_b , for loss of OH⁻ from HOBrI⁻, must be very large because the inequality $k_b \gg k_{-a}[\text{OH}^-]$ is needed to avoid hydroxide suppression of this pathway. Alternatively, a transition state could exist (structure **11)** where two bonds must be broken (0-H from

H20 and 0-Br from OBr-) as a Br-I bond forms, so that **2** OHand 1Br are formed.

^{~~~} **(25) Gerritsen, C. M.; Margerum, D. W.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1990,29,2757-2762.**

⁽²⁶⁾ Pearson, R. G. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1986, 83, 8440–8441.
(27) Klopman, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 223–234.

⁽²⁸⁾ Pearson, R. *G. Inorg. Chem.* **1988,** *27,* **734-740.**

Table 11. Summary of Rate Constants for the Reaction of Hypobromite with Excess Iodide in Base

k۴	k.ª	ref
6.8×10^{5}		b
1.2×10^{5}	10^{10}	C
5.0×10^9		b
6×10^9		d
8×10^5		d
2.1×10^{9}		d
010 1	8×10^6	$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}}$
6.7×10^{5}	994	19
3×10^{5}	10^{10}	19
6×10^9	8×10^6	e

⁴ All concentrations in molarity; times in seconds. ^bThis study. Estimated from the pK_a of the acid and pK_w^{24} (pK_a for **HOI** is 10.6: Chia, **Y.** T. *US.* Energy *Commun.,* **UCRL-8311 1958).** dTroy, R. C.; Kelley, M. D.; Nagy, J. C.; Margerum, D. W. To be submitted for publication. 'Turner, D. H.; Flynn, G. W.; Sutin, N.; Beitz, J. V. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1972,** *94,* **1554-1559.**

Table 111. Rate Constants for the Reaction of Hypobromite with Sulfite'

10 ⁴ [OBr ⁻], M	10^4 [SO ₃ ²⁻], M	$[OH-], M$	$10^{-8}k_{\text{obsd}}$, M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹
3.81	6.29	0.5	1.1(3)
3.81	7.96	0.5	1.2(1)
3.81	9.57	0.5	0.9(1)
3.75	8.05	9.1×10^{-3}	0.9(1)
4.46	8.27	3.9×10^{-4}	2.6(1) ^b
3.85	9.02	1.6×10^{-4}	4.8 $(9)^b$

"Conditions: PAF measurements with second-order unequal concentrations, 25.0 °C, μ = 0.50 M, λ = 329 nm. b [CO₃]_T = [HCO₃⁻] + $[CO₃²⁻] = 0.10 M.$

Interference from I_2OH^- **and** I_3^- **. If the hydroxide concentration** is substantially less than 0.1 M, additional reactions interfere in studies of the **OBr-** and **I-** reaction kinetics. The molar absorptivity of I_3 ⁻ is very large at 329 nm ($\epsilon = 17400 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$), so trace levels $(10⁻⁶ M)$ of this species will contribute to the absorbance of the products. In addition to the reactions in eqs 14-19, there are competitive reactions between **I-** and **OH-** with IBr(aq) and between HOI, I₂, I₂OH⁻, and I₃⁻ that become important as [OH⁻] is decreased (Table **11).** Some of the reactions are on the same time scale as the **OBr-** + **I-** reactions and can confuse the **PAF** measurements. An **ACCUCHEM** program29 was used to calculate concentration versus time profiles for all the species and reactions in Table **11.** The results show no interference in the absorbance measurements from I_3^- or other species if $[OH^-] \geq 0.1$ M when $[I^-] = 0.005-0.010$ M. The correct value of A_{∞} is very important in the **PAF** measurements, because this value must correspond to the end of the fast reaction under study as opposed to a subsequent slower reaction. This is of concern because of necessary time delays $(\sim 20 \text{ s})$ when the A_{∞} value is measured by pulling the completely mixed system back into the **PAF** observation tube. Separate reactions were mixed by stopped-flow methods in order to be able to measure *A,* within **4** ms. Under the specified conditions, the two A_{∞} values are in agreement. Thus, the disproportionation reactions of OI⁻ (to give IO₃⁻ and I⁻) are too slow^{30,31} to shift the A_n values.

Kinetics of the Hypobromite Reaction with Sulfite. Even with 0.5 M OH⁻ concentrations, the reaction of OBr⁻ with SO_3^2 ⁻ is so fast that it is difficult to use excess $SO₃²⁻$ concentrations for pseudo-first-order PAF kinetics. Therefore, the reactions are monitored **by** the loss of **OBr-** under second-order unequal-concentration conditions with initial SO_3^2 ⁻ to OBr⁻ ratios of 1.6-2.5 (Table **111).** All trials give excellent fits for eq **11.** The average value of the observed second-order rate constants is 1.0 (± 0.1) \times 10⁸ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, and the rate constants are independent of hydroxide ion concentration from **0.50** to **0.0091 M OH-.** AS **[OH-]** is lowered to 1.6×10^{-4} M, the k_{obsd} value increases to 4.8 (± 0.9) \times 10⁸ M⁻¹ s⁻¹. The reaction time is so short under these conditions (first half-life = $2.8 \mu s$) that we are near the limit of the PAF measurements.

A mechanism analogous to that for **OBr-** and **I-** is given in **eqs**

22-25, where Br⁺ transfer gives
$$
BSO_3^-
$$
 as the initial product.
H₂O + OBr⁻ + SO₃²⁻ + BrSO₃⁻ + 2OH⁻ (22)

$$
OBr^+ + H_2O \xrightarrow[k_1]{k_1}
$$
 HOBr + OH⁻ (23)

$$
HOBr + SO32- \xrightarrow{k_4} BrSO3- + OH- \t(24)
$$

\n
$$
BrSO3- + H2O \rightarrow SO42- + Br- + 2H+ \t(25)
$$

$$
BrSO_3^- + H_2O \rightarrow SO_4^{2-} + Br^- + 2H^+ \tag{25}
$$

The rate expression for eqs **22-24** would be given by *eq* **26** if **HOBr** and **OBr-** were in rapid equilibrium. This expression takes into

$$
\frac{-d[OBr^{-}]_{T}}{dt} = \left(\frac{k_3[OH^{-}] + K_1k_4}{K_1 + [OH^{-}]}\right)[SO_3^{2-}][OBr^{-}]_{T} \quad (26)
$$

account the appreciable concentration of **HOBr** at the lowest $[OH^-]$ values $([OBr^-]_T = [OBr^-] + [HOBr])$. Since k_3 is 1.0 \times 10^8 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ and K₁ is 1.2 \times 10⁵ M, we can evaluate k_4 as 5 (\pm 1) **x IO9 M-I s-I,** which equals or very nearly equals the diffusion limit. **A** new problem now appears to exist, because the prior equilibrium condition for **HOBr** requires $k_{-1}[\text{OH}^{-}]$ > $k_{4}[\text{SO}_3^{2-}]$. A typical value for k_{-1} is 10¹⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, so when $[OH^-] = 1.6 \times 10^{10}$ 10^{-4} M, the k_{-1} [OH⁻] value is 1.6×10^{6} s⁻¹, while for $[SO_3^2] =$ 9.0×10^{-4} M the k_4 [SO₃²⁻] product is 4.5×10^6 s⁻¹ and the inequality does not hold. In other words, the proton-transfer step from **HzO** to **OBr-** is too slow to permit the **HOBr** path. This problem is avoided by the use of 0.1 M concentrations of carbonate buffer, so that rapid proton transfer is provided by the reactions

in eq 27. The pK_a value for HCO₃⁻ is 9.7 compared to 8.8 for
 HCO₃⁻ + OBr⁻
$$
\frac{k'_1}{k'_{-1}}
$$
 HOBr + CO₃²⁻ (27)

HOBr, so $k_1/k_{-1} = 0.13$ **. We can estimate** k_{-1} **to be** 3×10^8 M^{-1} s⁻¹ from comparable rate constants for CO_3^2 + **HOCl** and the relative $\Delta p_{k_a}^T$ values.^{3,32} The new inequality condition for preequilibrium of OBr⁻ and HOBr is now $(k_{-1}[\text{OH}^{-}]$ + k'_{-1} - $[CO₃²-])$ > $k_4[SO₃²-]$. Under our conditions for the lowest $[OH⁻]$ used, the first two terms are a factor of 7 larger than k_4 [SO₃²⁻] at the start of the reaction and a factor of 12 larger near the end of the reaction. Thus, it is valid, within our experimental error, to assume that **HOBr** and **OBr-** are in equilibrium because of the presence of carbonate buffer.

In the reaction of HOCl with SO_3^2 , kinetic evidence was found³ for a reactive intermediate, $HOCISO₃²$, where $HCO₃⁻$ assists its breakup (eqs 28 and 29). We expect HOBr to form a similar $\text{HOCISO}_3^{2-} \rightarrow \text{OH}^- + \text{CISO}_3^-$ (28)

$$
HOClSO32- \rightarrow OH- + ClSO3-
$$
 (28)

$$
HOClSO_3^{2-} \to OH^- + ClSO_3^-
$$
 (28)
HOCISO₃²⁻ + HCO₃⁻ \to H₂O + ClSO₃⁻ + CO₃²⁻ (29)

intermediate, HOBrSO₃²⁻, but it must dissociate more easily than HOClSO₃²⁻ because the k_4 value for eq 24 is 5 \times 10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ compared to a value of 7.6×10^8 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ for the corresponding reaction between HOCl and SO₃²⁻. A more reactive HOBrSO₃²⁻ species is expected because the O-Br bond is weaker than the O-Cl bond.³³ Thus, the reaction in eq 24 is at the diffusion limit and HCO₃⁻ assistance for the breakup of **HOBrSO**₃²⁻ is not needed.

Hydrolysis of the Bromosulfate Ion. Evidence for the formation of **BrSOq** is provided by rapid stopped-flow mixing of **HOBr** and $SO₃²⁻$ in the presence of an indicator. If the reaction took place by oxygen atom transfer, a one-step process (eq 30) with im-
 $HOBr + SO₃²⁻ \rightarrow Br⁻ + SO₄²⁻ + H⁺$ (30)

$$
HOBr + SO_3^{2-} \to Br + SO_4^{2-} + H^+ \tag{30}
$$

⁽²⁹⁾ Braun, W.; Herron, J. T. *ACCUCHEM,* National Bureau of Standards: Gaithersburg. **MD. 1988. (30)** Thomas. **T. R.:** Pence, D. **T.:** Hasty. **R. A.** J. *Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* **1980.**

⁽³¹⁾ Wren, J. **C.;** Paquette. J.; Sunder, **S.;** Ford, **B. L.** *Can. J. Chem.* **1986.** *42,* **183-186.** *64.* **2284-2296.**

⁽³²⁾ Eigen, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1964,** *3,* **1-72.**

⁽³³⁾ Darwent, B. deB. *Natl. Stand. Ref. Dara Ser. (US. Nad Bur. Srand.)* **1970,** *31,* **17, 26.**

Table IV. First-Order'Rate Constants for the Hydrolysis Reaction of Bromosulfate **Ion"**

7. K	k_{h} , s ⁻¹	
273.0^{b}	230(20)	
275.0c	290 (40)	
277.0^{b}	360(40)	

"Conditions: stopped-flow indicator method; $\mu = 0.50$ M (NaClO₄), $\lambda = 410$ nm; [2,4-dinitrophenol] = 2.2 × 10⁻⁵ M. ^b[HOBr] = 5.0 × 10^{-4} M (p[H⁺] = 6.63); $[SO_3]_T = [HSO_3^-] + [SO_3^{2-}] = 5.3 \times 10^{-4}$ M $(p[H^+] = 6.71)$. $^c[HOBr] = 5.0 \times 10^{-4}$ M $(p[H^+] = 6.65)$; $[SO_3]_T =$ 5.0×10^{-4} M (p[H⁺] = 6.83). Final p[H⁺] after mixing is 3.39.

Figure 3. Eyring plot for the temperature dependence of k_h for the hydrolysis of $BrSO_1$. The vertical bars show the standard deviation of the k_h values at each temperature. The dashed lines show the extreme slopes possible for the probable error range at 0.0 and 4.0 $^{\circ}$ C (marked by **X**). The linear least-squares slope and intercept give $\Delta H^* = 70 \pm 8$ kJ mol⁻¹ and $\Delta S^* = 60 \pm 8$ J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹, while the extreme slopes give uncertainties of ± 20 kJ mol⁻¹ for ΔH^* and ± 80 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹ for ΔS^* .

mediate release of acid would be expected. The PAF experiments show that this would occur within the mixing time of the stopped-flow instrument. On the other hand, a Br+-transfer reaction should occur in two steps (eqs 31 and 32) with the fast release
 $HOBr + SO₃²⁻ \rightarrow OH⁻ + BrSO₃⁻$ (31)

$$
HOBr + SO32- \rightarrow OH- + BrSO3- (31)
$$

$$
BrSO_3^- + H_2O \xrightarrow{\kappa_h} Br^- + SO_4^{2-} + 2H^+ \tag{32}
$$

of base, and the slower release of acid as is observed in the case of the formation and decay of **CISO;.** The rate of hydrolysis of $BrSO_3^-$ is faster than the rate hydrolysis of $CISO_3^-$, but we are able to observe the reaction in *eq* 32 by the change in absorbance of an indicator (2,4-dinitrophenol) at **0-4 "C** (Table IV). The magnitude of the rate constants and the freezing point of the solvent severely limit the range of temperature that can be studied by the stopped-flow method. At 0.0 \textdegree C the k_h value is 230 (\pm 20) **s**⁻¹. Figure 3 shows a linear least-squares fit of $\ln (k_h/T)$ vs $1/T$ that gives $\Delta H^* = 70 \pm 8$ kJ mol⁻¹ and $\Delta S^* = 60 \pm 8$ J mol⁻¹ s⁻¹. The plot includes the standard deviation of six measurements of the k_h value at each temperature. In order to indicate the considerable degree of uncertainty that is associated with the slope and intercept measurements over this narrow temperature range, we show two slopes (dashed lines) that would result from selection of the extremes of the probable error (0.6745σ) for the 0 and 4 **OC** data. These slopes give a much wider uncertainty than the linear least-squares values and correspond to $\Delta H^* = 70$ (± 20) kJ mol⁻¹ and $\Delta S^* = 60$ (± 80) J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹.

Comparison of Halosulfate Hydrolysis Kinetics. At 2.0 *OC* the hydrolysis rate constants are in the following order: $BrSO₃⁻ (290)$ s^{-1}) \gg CISO₃⁻ (48 s⁻¹)⁴ > ISO₃⁻ (32 s⁻¹)³⁴ Each of these halosulfate ions was formed by X^+ -transfer reactions with SO_2 ²⁻ (from HOBr, **HOCI,** and **12,** respectively). In contrast to these

Table V. Halosulfate Hydrolysis Rate Constants (25 "C) and Activation Parameters

species	k_{1} , s ⁻¹	ΔН*. kJ mol ⁻¹	ΔS^* J mol ⁻¹ K^{-1}	ref
FSO.	3.1×10^{-7}	73 ^a 49	-125 -32	35
CISO ₁ BrSO ₁	270 3×10^{3}	70 ± 20	60 ± 80	this work
ISO ₁	298	65	21	34

^a Calculated from k_h and ΔS^* . ^b Calculated from k_h at 2 °C and $\Delta H^* = 70$ kJ mol⁻¹.

Table VI. Enthalpies and Entropies for the Hydration of Gaseous Halide Ions"

	ΔH°	ΔS° kJ mol ^{-1 b,c} J mol ⁻¹ K ^{-1 d,e}		ΔH^{\bullet}	ΔS^{\bullet} kJ mol ^{-1 b,c} J mol ⁻¹ K ^{-1 d,e}
F	-515	-160	Br"	-347	-81
Cŀ	-381	-96	T-	-305	-62

 ${}^{\alpha}X^{-}(g) + H_2O \rightarrow X^{-}(ag)$. ${}^{\beta}$ Cotton, F. A.; Wilkinson, G. *Advanced Inorganic Chemistry*; Wiley: New York, 1980; p 66. 'Halliwell, H. F.; Nyburg, **S.** C. *Trans. Faraday SOC.* **1963,** *59,* 1126-1140. ^d Standard Potentials in Aqueous Solution; Bard, A. J., Parsons, R., Jordan, J., Eds.; Dekker: New York, 1985; pp 67-90. *rJANAF Thermochemical Tables,* 3rd *ed.;* Chase, **M.** W., Jr., **Ed.;** American Chemical Society and American Institute of Physics: New York, 1986.

Table VII. Rate Constants for Hypohalite and Hypohalous Acid Reactions with Iodide and with Sulfite'

reaction	$k, M^{-1} s^{-1}$	ref
$H2O + OCl^- + I^- \rightarrow ICl + 2OH^-$ $H2O + OBr- + I- \rightarrow IBr + 2OH-$ $HOCI + I^- \rightarrow ICl + OH^-$ $HOBr + I^- \rightarrow IBr + OH^-$ $H_2O + OCl^- + SO_2^2 \rightarrow ClSO_2 + 2OH^-$ $H_2O + OBr + SO_3^{2-} \rightarrow BrSO_3^- + 2OH^-$	30 6.8×10^{5} 1.4×10^{8} 5.0×10^{9} 2.3×10^{4} 1.0×10^{8}	25.b this study this study this study
$HOCI + SO32- \rightarrow CISO3- + OH-$ $HOBr + SO32- \rightarrow BrSO3- + OH-$	7.6×10^{8} 5.0×10^{9}	this study

"Conditions: 25.0 °C, μ = 0.50 M. ^bKumar, K.; Margerum, D. W. Unpublished data.

relatively rapid hydrolysis reactions, $FSO₃$ hydrolysis is extremely slow.³⁵ Table V compares the k_h values at 25 °C and the activation parameters for four halosulfate ions. (The fluorosulfate hydrolysis reaction is faster in high acid and high base concentrations, but the water hydrolysis path predominates from pH 3 to pH 12.35 The hydrolysis rate constants for the other halosulfate ions are all measured from pH 3 to pH 7, **so** only the water path is considered.) The necessity to extrapolate the hydrolysis rate constant for BrSO₃⁻ from 0-4 to 25 °C means that the value of 3×10^{3} s⁻¹ has a large uncertainty. However, it is clear that the relative rate constants are in the order $BrSO_3^- \gg ISO_3^- \simeq CISO_3^ \gg$ FSO₃⁻. The very small rate constant for the hydrolysis of FSO₃⁻ is associated with the large negative activation entropy for this reaction. The activated state must have a high degree of **F** aquation. Table VI gives *AH"* and **ASo** values calculated for the hydration of the gaseous halide ions. It is of interest to compare the change of ΔS^* values from FSO_3^- to ISO_3^- , where $\Delta(\Delta S^*)$ is $+146$ J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹, to the corresponding change of ΔS° values from F^{\sim} to I⁻, where $\Delta(\Delta S^{\circ})$ is +98 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹. This suggests that the relative decrease in solvent organization around the halide ions in going from **F** to **I-** plays an important role in the relative rate of hydrolysis of the halosulfate ions. The large changes in the ΔH° values for the aquation of the halide ions are offset by changes in the X-S bond strength, so that changes in ΔH^* values are relatively small in comparison to the $>10^{10}$ change in rate constants for the halosulfate hydrolysis reactions. The reasons for the larger rate constants for the hydrolysis of $BrSO₃$ -compared to CISO₃⁻ and **ISO₃⁻** are not clear. The uncertainties in the ΔH^* and ΔS^* values for BrSO₃⁻ are too great to permit interpretation

⁽³⁵⁾ **Jones, M.** M.; Lockhart, **W.** L. *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* **1968,** *30,* 1237-1 243.

in terms of the nature of the transition state.

Conclusions

Rate constants for the hypohalite and hypohalous acid reactions with iodide and sulfite ions are summarized in Table VII. The OBr⁻ reactions are faster than the OCI⁻ reactions by a factor of more than 2.3×10^4 for I⁻ and by a factor of 4.4×10^3 for SO_3^{2-} . We propose that these enhanced rates are associated with the greater ease of Br+-transfer compared to CI+-transfer reactions. In other words, nucleophilic attack at bromine with expansion of its valence-shell electrons is more favorable than the corresponding attack at chlorine. The reactivity of HOBr is much greater than that of OBr⁻ (by a factor of 7.4×10^3 for I⁻ and a factor of 50 for $SO₃²⁻$). The HOBr reactions with I^- and with $SO₃²⁻$ are so favorable that the rate constants reach the diffusion limit. The high reactivity of HOBr is again associated with the greater ease of Br^+ transfer to the nucleophiles (I⁻ or SO_3^2 ⁻) accompanied by the rapid **loss** of OH-. The indicator experiments provide evidence for the formation and decay of $BrSO₃^-$.

Acknowledgment. Support for this work was provided by National Science Foundation Grant CHE-8720318. R.C.T. also wishes to thank the Dow Chemical Co. for a 1989 Summer Fellowship.

Registry No. OBr-, 14380-62-2; I-, 20461-54-5; **SO,*-,** 14265-45-3; HOBr, 13517-11-8; BrSO₃⁻, 44047-97-4.

Contribution from the Departments of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2RD, U.K., and University Center at Binghamton, State University of New York, **P.O.** Box 6000, Binghamton, New York 13902-6000

Time-Resolved Infrared Spectrum of the MLCT Excited State of W(CO)₅(4-CNpyr) (4-CNpyr = **4-Cyanopyridine): Photophysics and Photochemistry**

Paul Glyn,[†] Frank P. A. Johnson,[†] Michael W. George,[†] Alistair J. Lees,[†] and James J. Turner*^{,†}

Received December 17, 1990

Fast time-resolved infrared spectroscopy is employed to probe the lower metal to 4-CNpyr charge-transfer (MLCT) excited state of W(CO)5(4-CNpyr) (4-CNpyr = 4-cyanopyridine). *On* visible irradiation, the CO stretching vibrations shift to higher frequency, confirming that in this excited state the metal center is oxidized. The excited state decays back to the ground state and, via the LF excited state, decomposes to W(CO)₅ and 4-CNpyr. The rate constants for these processes are equal (ca. 4×10^6 s⁻¹), suggesting that there is a rapid equilibrium between the MLCT and LF states.

Introduction

The photochemistry of $(CO)_{5}ML$ species has been extensively investigated.¹⁻⁴ Low-temperature studies¹ showed that if L is an electron-withdrawing group then the MLCT state is lower than the LF excited states. Lees and Adamson⁵ were the first to observe room-temperature emission from such systems. From very detailed studies⁶⁻⁸ of the photochemistry and photophysics of $(CO)_{5}WL$, the following conclusions may be drawn in the case of $L = 4$ -CNpyr.

(i) Irrespective of irradiation wavelength, multiple emission occurs from two ³MLCT states,⁹ separated by 990 cm⁻¹, in rapid thermal equilibrium with each other. In methylcyclohexane at 296 **K,** the emissions are centered at 545 and 613 nm and the lifetime is 0.292×10^{-6} s-see Figure 1.

(ii) Immediately following the laser flash, an absorption band with a maximum at ca. 400 nm was observed;⁶ this was assigned to the excited state. The decay of this band followed a single exponential with a rate equal to the rate of decay of the emission.

(iii) Substitution photochemistry proceeds from a 'LF state9 that is some 2600 cm^{-1} above the lower ³MLCT state, and is in steady-state thermal equilibrium with the emitting CT states; the first step involves ejection of 4-CNpyr.

The value of probing excited states of organometallics with resonance Raman spectroscopy¹⁰⁻¹² has already been demonstrated. We recently obtained the IR spectrum of the emitting excited state of CIRe(CO)₃(4,4'-bpy)₂ and confirmed from the shift in ν (CO) frequencies that there is charge transfer from metal to the bpy ligand.¹³ For CIRe(CO)₃(4,4'-bpy)₂, the quantum yield for photodissociation is nearly zero. In this paper, we describe an investigation of (CO) , $W(4-CNpyr)$ and report the $\nu(CO)$ IR spectra of the photochemically active ³MLCT excited state, its decay back to the ground state, *and* the dissociation via the excited ³LF state to $W(CO)$ ₅ and 4-CNpyr.

Table I. Frequencies (cm⁻¹) and Assignment, Based on C_{4v} Symmetry, in the ν (CO) Region of Species Involved in Photochemistry of $W(CO)_{5}(4-CNpyr)$ in Methylcyclohexane

"FTIR spectrum. *Outside CO laser range. 'Obscured by parent band.

Experimental Section

Materials. $W(CO)_{5}(4-CNpyr)$ was prepared photochemically via $W(CO)$ ₅(THF) (THF = tetrahydrofuran) following a published procedure' and purified by column chromatography **on** alumina. The 4-CNpyr ligand (Aldrich, 98%), methylcyclohexane (MCH, Aldrich, anhydrous

- (1) Wrighton, M. **S.;** Abrahamson, H. **B.;** Morse, D. L. *J. Am. Chem.* **Soc. 1976,98,** 4105.
- (2) Geoffroy, **G.** L.; Wrighton, M. **S.** *Organometallic Phorochemistry;* Academic Press: New York, 1979.
- (3) Lees, A. J. *Chem. Reu.* **1987,87,** 711.
- (4) Moralejo, C.; Langford, C. H.; Sharma, D. **K.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1989,28, 2205.**
-
-
- (5) Lees, A. J.; Adamson, A. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6874.
(6) Lees, A. J.; Adamson, A. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 3804.
(7) Rawlins, K. A.; Lees, A. J.; Adamson, A. W. Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, **3866.**
- (E) Wieland, **S.;** Van Eldick, **R.;** Crane, D. **R.;** Ford, P. C. *Inorg. Chem.* **1989, 28,** 3663.
- (9) With large spin-orbit coupling in W, the states are not pure singlets and triplets.
- (10) Mabrouk, P. A.; Wrighton, M. **S.** *Inorg. Chem.* 1986, **25,** 526. **(11)** Perng, **J.-H.;** Zink, J. I. *Inorg. Chem.* **1990, 29,** 1158.
-
- (12) Morris, D. E.; Woodruff, W. H. In Spectroscopy of Inorganic-based
Materials; Clark, R. J. H., Hester, R. E., Eds.; Wiley: New York, 1987.
(13) Glyn, P.; George, M. W.; Hodges, P. M.; Turner, J. J. J. Chem. Soc.,
- *Chem. Commun.* **1989,** 1655.

^{&#}x27;University of Nottingham. *State University of New York.